UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,328	06/09/2006	Naoto Izawa	00005.001296.	4939
5514 7590 03/25/2009 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFEL		POWERS, FIONA		
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Occurrence	10/582,328	IZAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Fiona T. Powers	1626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stautory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 June 2006</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)					

Art Unit: 1626

Receipt is acknowledged of the preliminary amendment filed June 9, 2006 and the information disclosure statements filed September 27, 2006 and February 26, 2008, which have been entered in the file.

The information disclosure statement filed September 27, 2006 and the two foreign patents listed in the information disclosure statement filed February 26, 2008 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Note that the compact disk filed September 27, 2006 is not the proper format for submission of an information disclosure statement.

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,328 Page 3

Art Unit: 1626

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 to 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims recite "a microcrystal of… which has an average particle size of 80 μ m or less". However, "a microcrystal" is a single crystal and it cannot have "an average particle size of 80 μ m or less".

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 to 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al. (US 6211227), cited by applicants and Hirayama et al. (US 6383520), cited by applicants or Fukunaka et al. (Chemical Abstracts 140:326853, 2003) or Ito

Application/Control Number: 10/582,328 Page 4

Art Unit: 1626

et al. (Chemical and Pharmaceutical Bulletin, 43(12), 2221-2225, 1995), cited.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

Yoshida et al. disclose crystals of the compound (S)-(+)-3,3,3-trifluoro-2-hydroxy-2-methyl-N-(5,5,10-trioxo-4,10-dihydrothieno[3,2-c][1]benzothiepin-9-yl)propaneamide. Note Compound 1-25 in column 16 and Example 1-25 in column 43.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The crystals of the compound disclosed by Yoshida et al. differ from that claimed in that the particle size is not disclosed.

Finding of prima facie obviousness---rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

However, the selection of particle size is not a patentable modification in the absence of unobvious property. See In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237.

Futhermore, Hirayama et al., Fukunaka et al. and Ito et al. disclose that reduction of particle size by pulverization using a jet mill is a known pharmaceutical technique used to improve the dissolution and content uniformity of solid drugs. Note column 1, lines 53 to 67 of Hirayama et al.; the abstract of Fukunaka et al.; and the abstract, left-hand paragraph and "Size Reduction" section on page 2221 of Ito et al.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to reduce the particle size of the compound of Yoshida et

Art Unit: 1626

al. using a jet mill as disclosed by Hirayama et al., Fukunada et al. and Ito et al. in order to improve the dissolution rate and content uniformity of the compound.

No claim is allowed.

The references made of record and not relied upon show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fiona T. Powers whose telephone number is 571-272-0702. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,328 Page 6

Art Unit: 1626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Fiona T. Powers/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit
1626

ftp March 23, 2009